

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/06/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/995,053	11/27/2001		Masaki Nakakado	ZUIP102US	9714
29393	7590	10/06/2003		EXAMINER	
		OCIATES, LLC	AFTERGUT, JEFF H		
	. CITY BANI D AVE., SUIT	K BUILDING FE 1210		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	ND, OH 441			1733	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<u> </u>							
	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Office Action Commons	09/995,053	NAKAKADO ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Jeff H. Aftergut	1733						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet t	with the correspondence addi	'ess					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed  irty (30) days will be considered timely.  DNTHS from the mailing date of this com  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	imunication.					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on								
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims			merits is					
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application	1							
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>9-19</u> is/are withdrawr								
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3 and 8</u> is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 6</u> is/are rejected.	· · · <u> </u>							
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,4,5 and 7</u> is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.							
Application Papers	·							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	oted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the								
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)☐ approved b)☐	disapproved by the Examiner	•					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rej	·							
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority document								
2. Certified copies of the priority document								
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li> <li>application from the International Bu</li> <li>See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))		tage					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional a	application).					
<ul> <li>a)  The translation of the foreign language pro</li> <li>15)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest</li> </ul>	* *							
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 8	5) Notice of	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s of Informal Patent Application (PTO-						

Application/Control Number: 09/995,053

Art Unit: 1733

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-8, drawn to a rotation device, classified in class 156, subclass 556.
  - II. Claims 9-10, drawn to a method of transferring a worn article, classified in class156, subclass 299.
  - III. Claim 11, drawn to a method of folding a web, classified in class 156, subclass196.
  - IV. Claim 12, drawn to a disposable absorbent article, classified in class 604, subclass358.
  - V. Claims 13-19, drawn to method of making a disposable absorbent article,
     classified in class 156, subclass 164.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to fold a continuous web of material rather than in a transferring operation of individual article.
- 3. Inventions I and III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as

Art Unit: 1733

claimed can be used in another and materially different process such as one of transferring articles of discrete length rather than folding a continuous web.

- 4. Inventions I and IV are related as apparatus and product made. The inventions in this relationship are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the apparatus as claimed is not an obvious apparatus for making the product and the apparatus can be used for making a different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus (MPEP § 806.05(g)). In this case the product as claimed could be manufactured by another and materially different apparatus such as one which cut the continuous web folded by folding the portions adjacent the cut.
- 5. Inventions I and V are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus of Group I could be used in a folding operation for folding a continuous web of material rather than in an operation for applying elastics thereto. Additionally the apparatus could be used to transfer discrete lengths of pad material from one conveyor to another.
- 6. Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions the processes of Group II and Group III have different modes of operation wherein one relates to a method of transferring discrete articles and the other relates to a method of folding a continuous web.

Application/Control Number: 09/995,053

Art Unit: 1733

- 7. Inventions II and IV are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product could be made by another and materially different process such as one where the web was cut and then folded after the cutting operation.
- 8. Inventions II and V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different functions (one relates to transferring items and the other relates to applying elastics and cutting the same.
- 9. Inventions III and IV are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product could be made by another process such as one where the web was cut prior to folding with a roller folding mechanism.
- 10. Inventions III and V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions the sets of claims have different modes of operation wherein one relates to the folding of material and the other relates to the application of elastics upon a substrate. It should be noted

Art Unit: 1733

that the folding of the web of material need not be performed with the specified folding operation and that the folding operation could be used to merely fold and web and have no associated elastic thereto.

- 11. Inventions IV and V are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed could be manufactured by another and materially different process such as one where the web was cut followed by the folding of the same.
- 12. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 13. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 14. During a telephone conversation with Thomas Eschweiler on 9-25-03 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-8.

  Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 9-19 have been withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.
- 15. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the

Application/Control Number: 09/995,053 Page 6

Art Unit: 1733

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

16. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 17. Claims 1 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by either one of Tomsovic, Jr. '876 or Oshefsky et al.

The references to either one of Tomsovic, Jr. '876 or Oshefsky et al taught that it was known to provide a rotating member which included an endless guide (in the case of these references the endless guide is a cam member) and a plurality of moving sections that move while being guided by the cam member (in this case each reference suggested that cam followers would have been provided which followed the cam and which were each associated with a moving section). The references both taught that the moving sections would have been moved radially outward as a function of following of the cam members in order to dispose the moving sections such that the spacing between the moving sections changed. In each of the references, the moving sections had associated therewith a means for carrying the individual articles being transferred.

## Allowable Subject Matter

18. Claims 3 and 8 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 09/995,053 Page 7

Art Unit: 1733

· 1

19. Claims 2, 4, 5, and 7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but

would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base

claim and any intervening claims.

20. None of the prior art of record taught or suggested that one skilled in the art would have

provided the guide members as rotatable guide members which were capable of rotation along

with the plurality of moving sections.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Jeff H. Aftergut whose telephone number is 703-308-2069. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6:30-3:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Michael W. Ball can be reached on 703-308-2058. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

rimary Examiner

Art Unit 1733

JHA

September 29, 2003